

## Non-equivalent n-norms being Sequentially Equivalent

By

PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH

### Abstract

In [4], we have already studied the space of p-summable sequences i.e.  $(l^p)$  as an n-normed space by defining a new n-norm on it. In [5], we have resulted that equivalent norms can be derived by non-equivalent n-norms. Inspired by the problem raised in Gunawan and others paper [7], in this paper, we shall show that sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent.

**Keywords:**  $l^p$  space,  $l^\infty$  space, parallel re-arranged sequences, norms, n-norms, derived norms.

**2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification No:** 40A05, 46A45, 46B45, 46B99.

### 1. Introduction

Gähler [8], initially introduced the theory of *2-norm* on a linear space while that of *n-norm* can be found in [10] and has been studied in many papers such as [1, 9, 3]. Research works on sequence spaces regarded as *n-normed space* can be found in [1, 2, 4, 5, 6].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{K} (= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$  of dimension  $d \geq n (n \geq 2)$ . A non-negative real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  defined on  $\mathbf{X}^n$  satisfying the four conditions:

- (N1)  $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  are linearly dependent;
- (N2)  $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$  is invariant under the permutation of  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$ ;
- (N3)  $\|\alpha \cdot x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$ ;
- (N4)  $\|x^1 + y, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \leq \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| + \|y, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$ ;

for all  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, y \in \mathbf{X}$  and for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ , is called an **n-norm on  $\mathbf{X}$** , and the pair  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called an **n-normed space**.

**Definition 1.2.** A sequence  $(x^l)_{l=0}^\infty$  defined in n-normed space  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is

---

Received : April 27, 2019; Accepted : October 27, 2019

said to be **convergent** at  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  if

$$\|x^l - x, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad l \rightarrow \infty$$

for every  $z^1, \dots, z^{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Two n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$  defined on a linear space  $\mathbf{X}$  are said to be **equivalent** or **equivalent of type 1** (in short **E1**) if  $\exists K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that:

$$K_1 \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_1 \leq \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_2 \leq K_2 \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_1$$

for all  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}$ . n-norms which are not equivalent are termed as non-equivalent.

**Definition 1.4.** Two n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$  defined on a linear space  $\mathbf{X}$  are said to be **sequentially equivalent** or **Sequentially equivalent of type 1** (in short **SE1**) if convergence of a sequence in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$  implies convergence in  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$  and vice versa.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an n-normed space and  $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$  is a set of linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{X}$  then both of the functions  $\|\cdot\|_\infty^d$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q^d$  define a norm on  $\mathbf{X}$  (known as **derived norm** with respect to the set  $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ ) and they are equivalent, where

- (1)  $\|x\|_\infty^d = \max \{ \|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\| : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \}$
- (2)  $\|x\|_q^d = \left( \sum_{\{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\|^q \right)^{1/q}; \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$

It is obvious that if a sequence is convergent in an n-normed space then it is convergent in its derived normed space also.

In this paper, we shall study the sequence space  $l^p$  where

$$l^p = \left\{ x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty : \sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty \quad \text{where} \quad x_i \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

As we know that  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a Banach space where  $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$  while  $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  forms simply a normed space where  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq i < \infty} |x_i|$ .

In [4], for our convenience and need we have denoted the set of whole numbers as  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , which is also considered as a sequence  $\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$ . Further, we have denoted the sequence  $\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$  in the form of *n-consecutive terms notation* as

$$\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots) = (nl, nl + 1, \dots, nl + (n - 1))_{l=0}^\infty$$

and expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} = & (n \cdot 0 = 0, n \cdot 0 + 1 = 1, \dots, n \cdot 0 + (n-1) = n-1, \\ & n \cdot 1 = n, n \cdot 1 + 1 = n+1, \dots, n \cdot 1 + (n-1) = 2n-1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{\mathbb{N}} = (\bar{m}_{nk}, \bar{m}_{nk+1}, \dots, \bar{m}_{nk+(n-1)})_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be a rearrangement of the sequence  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then for any  $n$  vectors

$$x^t = (x_{nl}^t, x_{nl+1}^t, \dots, x_{nl+(n-1)}^t)_{l=0}^{\infty} \in l^p; \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

the  $n$  vectors

$$\bar{x}^t = (x_{\bar{m}_{nk}}^t, x_{\bar{m}_{nk+1}}^t, \dots, x_{\bar{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^t)_{k=0}^{\infty}; \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are called *parallel rearrangements* of  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  respectively.

In [4], we have observed that  $(l^p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$  is an n-normed space where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|}_p = & \sup \{ |\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^n| : \bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^n \text{ are parallel} \\ & \text{rearrangements of } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \text{ respectively} \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$|\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^n| = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{\bar{m}_{nk}}^1 & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+1}}^1 & \dots & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^1 \\ x_{\bar{m}_{nk}}^2 & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+1}}^2 & \dots & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{\bar{m}_{nk}}^n & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+1}}^n & \dots & x_{\bar{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^n \end{pmatrix} \right|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$|\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \dots, \bar{x}^n| \leq n! \|x^{\pi_1}\|_p \cdot \|x^{\pi_2}\|_{\infty} \cdots \|x^{\pi_n}\|_{\infty};$$

hence

$$\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|}_p \leq n! \|x^{\pi_1}\|_p \cdot \|x^{\pi_2}\|_{\infty} \cdots \|x^{\pi_n}\|_{\infty}; \quad (3)$$

where  $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n\}$  is any permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

In [1], Malčeski investigated that the function

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_{\infty} := \sup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{i_1}^1 & x_{i_2}^1 & \dots & x_{i_n}^1 \\ x_{i_1}^2 & x_{i_2}^2 & \dots & x_{i_n}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{i_1}^n & x_{i_2}^n & \dots & x_{i_n}^1 \end{pmatrix} \right| \quad (4)$$

defines an n-norm on  $l^{\infty}$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_{\infty} \leq n! \cdot \|x^1\|_{\infty} \cdot \|x^2\|_{\infty} \cdots \|x^n\|_{\infty}.$$

But  $l^p$  is a subspace of  $l^\infty$  therefore we can show that  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  forms an n-norm on  $l^p$  also.

## 2. Results

Obviously equivalent n-norms give equivalent derived norms with respect to same linearly independent set. In [4, 5], we have already proved that the two n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  defined on  $l^p$  are non-equivalent. While their derived norms with respect to the linearly independent set  $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$  are equivalent and equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , where  $e^t = (\delta_i^t)_{i=0}^\infty$ . For details see [5]. Here we shall prove that these two n-norms give equivalent derived norms with respect to many linearly independent sets.

In [7], it has been proved that equivalent n-norms become sequentially equivalent. Here, our aim is to prove that sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent.

Here we shall use the results of [4, 5] as following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** For every  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in l^p$ , we have

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_\infty \leq \overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|}_p. \quad (5)$$

Next, for every  $K > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $N^{1/p} > K$ . Defining  $x^t = (x_i^t)_{i=0}^\infty \in l^p$ ;  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$  as follows:

$$x_i^t = \begin{cases} 1 & ; \text{ if } i \equiv (t-1)(\text{mod } n) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq i \leq (Nn-1) \\ 0 & ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we get

$$\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|}_p = N^{1/p} > K$$

while

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_\infty = 1.$$

hence we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** The two n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  and  $\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|}_p$  are non-equivalent.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n \in l^p$  are linearly independent such that the number of non-zero terms of each sequence  $z^t \leq \lambda$  for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$  then for all  $x \in l^p$ , we have

$$\|x\|_\infty^d \leq \overline{\|x\|}_p^d \leq \lambda \cdot \|x\|_\infty^d$$

where  $\|x\|_{\infty}^d = \max \{ \|x, z^{t_1}, \dots, z^{t_{n-1}}\|_{\infty} : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \}$  and  $\overline{\overline{\|x\|}}_p^d = \max \left\{ \overline{\|x, z^{t_1}, \dots, z^{t_{n-1}}\|}_p : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$ .

**Proof.** From lemma 2.1 it is clear that for all  $x \in l^p$ , we have

$$\|x\|_{\infty}^d \leq \overline{\overline{\|x\|}}_p^d.$$

Again since the number of non-zero terms of each sequence  $z^t \leq \lambda$  therefore for every rearrangement  $\overline{\overline{N}} = (\overline{\overline{m}}_{n_k}, \overline{\overline{m}}_{n_{k+1}}, \dots, \overline{\overline{m}}_{n_{k+(n-1)}})_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of the sequence  $N$  at most  $\lambda$  terms of the series (2) may be non-zero. therefore in view of (2) and (4), we have

$$\overline{\overline{\|x\|}}_p^d \leq \lambda \cdot \|x\|_{\infty}^d.$$

Hence, we have the theorem.

**Corollary 2.4.** In general, if  $z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n \in C_{00} \subset l^p$  are  $n$  linearly independent vectors then the norms derived by the non-equivalent n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$  and  $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|}}_p$  with respect to linearly independent set  $\{z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n\}$  are equivalent. Where  $C_{00}$  is the space of complex sequences having only finitely many nonzero terms.

In [5], we have already proved that for  $z^t = (\delta_i^t)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\overline{\overline{\|x\|}}_p^d = \|x\|_{\infty}^d = \|x\|_{\infty} \quad \text{for all } x \in l^p. \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 2.5.** If the sequence  $(x^l)_{l=0}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  with respect  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$  then it converges to  $x$  with respect to  $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|}}_p$  also.

**Proof.** Let the sequence  $(x^l)_{l=0}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  with respect  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$  then it converges to  $x$  with respect to its derived norm hence in view of above equation (6) it converges to  $x$  with respect  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  also. Hence due to relation (3) it converges to  $x$  with respect to  $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|}}_p$  also.

In view of lemma 2.1, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** If the sequence  $(x^l)_{l=0}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  with respect  $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|}}_p$  then it converges to  $x$  with respect to  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$  also.

Thus above results give the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** Sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent.

**Proof.** Combining the theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we see that the two n-norms  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  defined on  $l^p$  are sequentially equivalent but in view of lemma 2.2, we see that they are non-equivalent.

### 3. Conclusion

From above discussions, it is clear that equivalence of derived norms need not imply the equivalence of respective n-norms. Moreover, non-equivalent n-norms may derive equivalent norms with respect to many linearly independent set. Further, it has been observed that sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent n-norms.

Department of Mathematics,  
MMH College Ghaziabad  
E-mail: pradeep3789@gmail.com

### REFERENCES

- [1] **Malčeski, A.:**  $l^\infty$  as n-normed space, Math. Bilten, 21 (1997), 103–110.
- [2] **Gunawan, H.:** The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 64 (2001), 137–147.
- [3] **Mashadi, M. and Gunawan, H.:** On n-normed spaces, Int. Jour. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2001), 631–639.
- [4] **Singh, P. K. and Srivastava, J. K.:** A study of an n-norm on  $l^p$  spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(2) (2015), 35–41.
- [5] **Singh, P. K. and Srivastava, J. K.:** On equivalence of n-norms and their derived norms on the space of p-summable sequences, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 7(4) (2016), 161–165.
- [6] **Konca, S., Gunawan, H. and Basarir, M.:** Some Remarks On  $l^p$  as an n-Normed Space, Mathematical Sciences And Applications E-Notes, 2(2) (2014), 45–50.
- [7] **Kristiantoo, T. R., Wibawa-Kusumah, R. A. and Gunawan, H.:** Equivalence relations of n-norms on a vector space, Matematički Vesnik, 65(4) (2013), 488–493.
- [8] **Gähler, S.:** Linear 2-normierte Räume, Math. Nachr., 28 (1964), 1–43.
- [9] **Malčeski, A.:** Strong n-convex n-normed space, Math. Bilten, 21 (1997), 81–102.
- [10] **Misiak, A.:** n-inner product spaces, Math. Nachr., 140 (1989), 299–319.