

Non-equivalent n -norms being Sequentially Equivalent

By

PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH

Abstract

In [4], we have already studied the space of p -summable sequences i.e. (l^p) as an n -normed space by defining a new n -norm on it. In [5], we have resulted that equivalent norms can be derived by non-equivalent n -norms. Inspired by the problem raised in Gunawan and others paper [7], in this paper, we shall show that sequentially equivalent n -norms need not be equivalent.

Keywords: l^p space, l^∞ space, parallel re-arranged sequences, norms, n -norms, derived norms.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification No: 40A05, 46A45, 46B45, 46B99.

1. Introduction

Gähler [8], initially introduced the theory of 2 -norm on a linear space while that of n -norm can be found in [10] and has been studied in many papers such as [1, 9, 3]. Research works on sequence spaces regarded as n -normed space can be found in [1, 2, 4, 5, 6].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbf{X} be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}(= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$ of dimension $d \geq n(n \geq 2)$. A non-negative real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ defined on \mathbf{X}^n satisfying the four conditions:

- (N1) $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = 0$ if and only if x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n are linearly dependent;
- (N2) $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$ is invariant under the permutation of x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n ;
- (N3) $\|\alpha \cdot x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$;
- (N4) $\|x^1 + y, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \leq \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| + \|y, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$;

for all $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, y \in \mathbf{X}$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, is called an **n -norm on \mathbf{X}** , and the pair $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an **n -normed space**.

Definition 1.2. A sequence $(x^l)_{l=0}^\infty$ defined in n -normed space $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is

Received : April 27, 2019; Accepted : October 27, 2019

said to be **convergent** at $x \in \mathbf{X}$ if

$$\|x^l - x, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad l \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $z^1, \dots, z^{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$.

Definition 1.3. Two n-norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$ defined on a linear space \mathbf{X} are said to be **equivalent** or **equivalent of type 1** (in short **E1**) if $\exists K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that:

$$K_1 \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_1 \leq \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_2 \leq K_2 \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_1$$

for all $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}$. n-norms which are not equivalent are termed as non-equivalent.

Definition 1.4. Two n-norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$ defined on a linear space \mathbf{X} are said to be **sequentially equivalent** or **Sequentially equivalent of type 1** (in short **SE1**) if convergence of a sequence in $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_1$ implies convergence in $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_2$ and vice versa.

Definition 1.5. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n-normed space and $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors in \mathbf{X} then both of the functions $\|\cdot\|_\infty^d$ and $\|\cdot\|_q^d$ define a norm on \mathbf{X} (known as **derived norm** with respect to the set $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$) and they are equivalent, where

- (1) $\|x\|_\infty^d = \max \{ \|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\| : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \}$
- (2) $\|x\|_q^d = \left(\sum_{\{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\|^q \right)^{1/q}; \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$

It is obvious that if a sequence is convergent in an n-normed space then it is convergent in its derived normed space also.

In this paper, we shall study the sequence space l^p where

$$l^p = \left\{ x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty : \sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty \quad \text{where} \quad x_i \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

As we know that $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space where $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ while $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ forms simply a normed space where $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq i < \infty} |x_i|$.

In [4], for our convenience and need we have denoted the set of whole numbers as $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, which is also considered as a sequence $\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$. Further, we have denoted the sequence $\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$ in the form of *n-consecutive terms notation* as

$$\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, \dots) = (nl, nl+1, \dots, nl+(n-1))_{l=0}^\infty$$

and expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N} &= (n \cdot 0 = 0, n \cdot 0 + 1 = 1, \dots, n \cdot 0 + (n-1) = n-1, \\ &\quad n \cdot 1 = n, n \cdot 1 + 1 = n+1, \dots, n \cdot 1 + (n-1) = 2n-1, \dots)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{N}}} = (\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk}, \overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+1}, \dots, \overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+(n-1)})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a rearrangement of the sequence \mathbb{N} . Then for any n vectors

$$x^t = (x_{nl}^t, x_{nl+1}^t, \dots, x_{nl+(n-1)}^t)_{l=0}^{\infty} \in l^p; \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

the n vectors

$$\overline{\overline{x}}^t = (x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk}}^t, x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+1}}^t, \dots, x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+(n-1)}}^t)_{k=0}^{\infty}; \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are called *parallel rearrangements* of x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n respectively.

In [4], we have observed that $(l^p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$ is an n -normed space where

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}} &= \sup\{|\overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n| : \overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n \text{ are parallel} \\ &\quad \text{rearrangements of } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \text{ respectively}\} \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n| = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk}}^1 & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+1}}^1 & \dots & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+(n-1)}}^1 \\ x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk}}^2 & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+1}}^2 & \dots & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+(n-1)}}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk}}^n & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+1}}^n & \dots & x_{\overline{\overline{m}}_{nk+(n-1)}}^n \end{pmatrix} \right|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$|\overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n| \leq n! \|x^{\pi_1}\|_p \cdot \|x^{\pi_2}\|_{\infty} \dots \|x^{\pi_n}\|_{\infty};$$

hence

$$\overline{\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}} \leq n! \|x^{\pi_1}\|_p \cdot \|x^{\pi_2}\|_{\infty} \dots \|x^{\pi_n}\|_{\infty}; \quad (3)$$

where $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n\}$ is any permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

In [1], Malčeski investigated that the function

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_{\infty} := \sup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{i_1}^1 & x_{i_2}^1 & \dots & x_{i_n}^1 \\ x_{i_1}^2 & x_{i_2}^2 & \dots & x_{i_n}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{i_1}^n & x_{i_2}^n & \dots & x_{i_n}^n \end{pmatrix} \right| \quad (4)$$

defines an n -norm on l^{∞} , where $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_{\infty} \leq n! \cdot \|x^1\|_{\infty} \cdot \|x^2\|_{\infty} \dots \|x^n\|_{\infty}.$$

But l^p is a subspace of l^∞ therefore we can show that $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ forms an n-norm on l^p also.

2. Results

Obviously equivalent n-norms give equivalent derived norms with respect to same linearly independent set. In [4, 5], we have already proved that the two n-norms $\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ defined on l^p are non-equivalent. While their derived norms with respect to the linearly independent set $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ are equivalent and equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, where $e^t = (\delta_i^t)_{i=0}^\infty$. For details see [5]. Here we shall prove that these two n-norms give equivalent derived norms with respect to many linearly independent sets.

In [7], it has been proved that equivalent n-norms become sequentially equivalent. Here, our aim is to prove that sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent.

Here we shall use the results of [4, 5] as following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. For every $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in l^p$, we have

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_\infty \leq \overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}. \quad (5)$$

Next, for every $K > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $N^{1/p} > K$. Defining $x^t = (x_i^t)_{i=0}^\infty \in l^p$; $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ as follows:

$$x_i^t = \begin{cases} 1 & ; \text{ if } i \equiv (t-1)(\text{mod } n) \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq (Nn-1) \\ 0 & ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we get

$$\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p} = N^{1/p} > K$$

while

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_\infty = 1.$$

hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. The two n-norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ and $\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}$ are non-equivalent.

Theorem 2.3. Let $z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n \in l^p$ are linearly independent such that the number of non-zero terms of each sequence $z^t \leq \lambda$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then for all $x \in l^p$, we have

$$\|x\|_\infty^d \leq \overline{\|x\|_p^d} \leq \lambda \cdot \|x\|_\infty^d$$

where $\|x\|_\infty^d = \max \{ \|x, z^{t_1}, \dots, z^{t_{n-1}}\|_\infty : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \}$ and $\overline{\overline{\|x\|_p}}^d = \max \{ \overline{\overline{\|x, z^{t_1}, \dots, z^{t_{n-1}}\|_p}} : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \}$.

Proof. From lemma 2.1 it is clear that for all $x \in l^p$, we have

$$\|x\|_\infty^d \leq \overline{\overline{\|x\|_p}}^d.$$

Again since the number of non-zero terms of each sequence $z^t \leq \lambda$ therefore for every rearrangement $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{N}}} = (\overline{\overline{m_{nk}}}, \overline{\overline{m_{nk+1}}}, \dots, \overline{\overline{m_{nk+(n-1)}}})_{k=0}^\infty$ of the sequence \mathbb{N} at most λ terms of the series (2) may be non-zero. therefore in view of (2) and (4), we have

$$\overline{\overline{\|x\|_p}}^d \leq \lambda \cdot \|x\|_\infty^d.$$

Hence, we have the theorem.

Corollary 2.4. In general, if $z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n \in C_{00} \subset l^p$ are n linearly independent vectors then the norms derived by the non-equivalent n-norms $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ and $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}}$ with respect to linearly independent set $\{z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n\}$ are equivalent. Where C_{00} is the space of complex sequences having only finitely many nonzero terms.

In [5], we have already proved that for $z^t = (\delta_i^t)_{i=0}^\infty$ we have

$$\overline{\overline{\|x\|_p}}^d = \|x\|_\infty^d = \|x\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } x \in l^p. \quad (6)$$

Theorem 2.5. If the sequence $(x^l)_{l=0}^\infty$ converges to x with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ then it converges to x with respect to $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}}$ also.

Proof. Let the sequence $(x^l)_{l=0}^\infty$ converges to x with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ then it converges to x with respect to its derived norm hence in view of above equation (6) it converges to x with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ also. Hence due to relation (3) it converges to x with respect to $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}}$ also.

In view of lemma 2.1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. If the sequence $(x^l)_{l=0}^\infty$ converges to x with respect to $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}}$ then it converges to x with respect to $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ also.

Thus above results give the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Sequentially equivalent n-norms need not be equivalent.

Proof. Combining the theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we see that the two n -norms $\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ defined on l^p are sequentially equivalent but in view of lemma 2.2, we see that they are non-equivalent.

3. Conclusion

From above discussions, it is clear that equivalence of derived norms need not imply the equivalence of respective n -norms. Moreover, non-equivalent n -norms may derive equivalent norms with respect to many linearly independent set. Further, it has been observed that sequentially equivalent n -norms need not be equivalent n -norms.

Department of Mathematics,
MMH College Ghaziabad
E-mail: pradeep3789@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- [1] **Malčeski, A.:** l^∞ as n -normed space, Math. Bilten, 21 (1997), 103–110.
- [2] **Gunawan, H.:** The space of p -summable sequences and its natural n -norm, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 64 (2001), 137–147.
- [3] **Mashadi, M. and Gunawan, H.:** On n -normed spaces, Int. Jour. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2001), 631–639.
- [4] **Singh, P. K. and Srivastava, J. K.:** A study of an n -norm on l^p spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(2) (2015), 35–41.
- [5] **Singh, P. K. and Srivastava, J. K.:** On equivalence of n -norms and their derived norms on the space of p -summable sequences, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 7(4) (2016), 161–165.
- [6] **Konca, S., Gunawan, H. and Basarir, M.:** Some Remarks On l^p as an n -Normed Space, Mathematical Sciences And Applications E-Notes, 2(2) (2014), 45–50.
- [7] **Kristiantoo, T. R., Wibawa-Kusumah, R. A. and Gunawan, H.:** Equivalence relations of n -norms on a vector space, Matematički Vesnik, 65(4) (2013), 488–493.
- [8] **Gähler, S.:** Linear 2-normierte Räume, Math. Nachr., 28 (1964), 1–43.
- [9] **Malčeski, A.:** Strong n -convex n -normed space, Math. Bilten, 21 (1997), 81–102.
- [10] **Misiak, A.:** n -inner product spaces, Math. Nachr., 140 (1989), 299–319.